

REMARKS

I. The Office Action

The summary page of the office action indicated that claims 30, 31, 33-39, 41-50, and 52-61 were pending. The applicant agrees.

The office action rejected claims 30, 31, 33-38, 49, 50, and 52-61. In response, the applicant cancels these claims without prejudice to their consideration in a continuation application.

The office action allowed claims 39 and 41-48. These included based upon independent method of making claim 39. In response, the applicant maintains these claims.

In addition, the applicant presents dependent claims 62-64 which are supported by examples 2 and 3 on pages 9-11 of the specification.


In addition, the applicant presents corresponding independent method of making and method of use claims 65 and 66. An application containing claims directed to the method of making, the product made, and the method of use are immune from restriction.

In addition, the applicant presents dependent claim 67 directed to the alternate energizing process disclosed on page 11 of the specification.

6/9/06

Date

Truly,



Richard A. Neifeld

Registration No. 35, 299

Attorney of Record

RAN

June 9, 2006 (1:27pm)

Y:\Clients\Hennings\HENN0014\HENN0014UPCT-US\Drafts\Amendment_060609.wpd